

JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

AUG 31 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

No. 09-90156

ORDER

KOZINSKI, Chief Judge:

Complainant, a pro se prisoner, has filed a lengthy and largely incomprehensible complaint and supporting documents. He seems to allege that a magistrate judge made improper findings in the reports and recommendations in complainant's habeas and civil rights cases. These charges relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must therefore be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant also seems to allege that the magistrate judge was biased against him. Complainant has not provided any objectively verifiable proof (for example, names of witnesses, recorded documents or transcripts) to support these allegations. See In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 569 F.3d 1093, 1093 (9th Cir. 2009). Adverse rulings do not constitute proof of bias. In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598, 598 (9th Cir. 2009). Because there is no

evidence of misconduct, these charges must be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

**DISMISSED.**